

Principal Examiner Feedback

January 2012

International GCSE English (4EA0/01) Paper 1





Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our qualifications website at <u>www.edexcel.com</u>. For information about our BTEC qualifications, please call 0844 576 0026, or visit our website at <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: <u>http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/</u>

Alternatively, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Pearson about Edexcel qualifications on our dedicated English telephone line: 08443722188

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

January 2012 Publications Code UG030401 All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2012 Principal Examiner's Report 4EA0 Paper 1 January 2012

Section A: Reading

Questions 1-3

The passage studied in Section A was a powerful piece of writing from David Attenborough, drawing comparisons between mankind and apes and their use of teamwork as a defining factor in their domination over others. The passage proved to be accessible to almost all candidates, with very few experiencing any difficulties in reading comprehension. Question 1 was worth two marks and was done well by almost all candidates. Question 2 asked candidates to outline the different roles played by the hunting chimpanzees. Better answers were those that clearly distinguished between the different roles and were able to briefly explain them. Weaker answers did not always give a full outline of the roles or lacked focus and wrote about other elements of the passage. As always, the key discriminator in Section A is the higher mark tariff question 3 and its focus on developing an interpretation and showing an understanding of the writer's technique. In this instance candidates were asked to focus upon how the writer tries to interest the reader in the subject matter. Bullet points offered further support for structuring an answer around the differing presentation of the two types of monkey, the links that the writer makes between animals and humans and a focus on language and the writer's techniques. The topic was of interest to most candidates and almost all candidates were able to respond to some parts of the passage. Able students were able to analyse a range of techniques effectively and recognising the many ways in that the writer sought to engage the reader, such as his focus upon telling and exciting and at times harrowing story whilst also drawing us into a painful recognition of ourselves in some aspects of the behaviour of the monkeys. There were many quite competent middle band answers that again commented on a range of features, though wrote more about the hunt and less about the comparisons with mankind. As has happened in the past, some candidates were able to find the relevant text but were less able to use the text to craft an explanation. Linked text and paraphrase does not constitute an explanation. Weaker answers tended to recognise the differences between the monkeys but often said little or nothing on the writer's techniques. Some commented on the picture whilst from others it received very little attention at all.

Section B: Reading and Writing

Question 4

Section B was based upon the pre-prepared text from the Edexcel Anthology, *Touching the Void* and focused upon the differences between the two storytellers. Many candidates were well prepared and showed a strong involvement with the passage. Better quality answers recognised a range of differences and were able to comment on them together with the devices used to communicate them. Weaker answers tended to be narrow in their identification of difference, tending to recognise that Simon was calm and lacking in emotion whilst Joe was quite the opposite. There were differences in interpretation as to whether Simon was pragmatic and level-headed or callous and cruel in his assessment of the situation.

Question 5

The writing task in Section B was linked to the reading task in section B and asked candidates to write about a time when they were let down by somebody. Most candidates had a lot to say, especially when venting fury about parents or friends and the question seemed to inspire many to write at some length. Better answers were able to craft a response based upon emotion without allowing the emotional dimension to displace the need to relate an event or an anecdote. Weaker responses were sometimes very short and undeveloped. Some struggled with paragraphing and textual cohesion was a common problem as was the inconsistent use of tenses and verb/noun agreement.

Section C: Writing

Question 6

The activity asked candidates to write a letter to a friend or relative visiting where you live. This question gave many an opportunity to write engagingly about their relationship with their own locale. Better answers developed a clear sense of relationship between letter writer and receiver and also generated a sense of excitement at their imminent visit. Weaker responses were often brief and tended to list places that would be visited or events that would take place, without any real sense of the person being written to and their relationship with the writer. Language control and clarity was often an issue with weaker answers often being shorter and struggling with tense control and the appropriate use of future/present tenses and irregular verbs. As before a key discriminator between Band 2 and Band 3 writing is that of structure and once again weaker responses were often lacking in paragraphing and a sense of structural cohesion.

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u> Order Code UG030401 January 2012

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit <u>www.edexcel.com/guals</u>

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE







